

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Authority in the modern state. By Harold J. Laski, sometime exhibitioner of New college, Oxford. (New Haven: Yale university press, 1919. 398 p. \$3.00)

In this notable contribution to the modern philosophy of the state, Mr. Laski carries on, with his usual erudition and with considerable success, the fascinating search for the explanation of political phenomena begun in his Studies in the problem of sovereignty. He propounds for inquiry two far-reaching questions: (1) Why does the individual yield political obedience? (2) How should authority be organized so as best to effect the purpose of the state? Rejecting the contract theory, he still admits that consent is an important element in obedience. is, governmental acts must meet with the approval of the individual conscience. It follows that the individual has certain rights (among them freedom of thought) which must be secure against state invasion, and that the "last word" to the individual is the voice of duty. This leads to a pluralistic view of the state and a pragmatic attitude toward state There results a decentralized federalism of responsible, autonomous groups, which do not depend upon law but have an inherent right to their existence, and in which the bond of association is the performance of a common function. This is true democracy. Only right is sovereign.

In four detailed studies, the writer shows how French political philosophy and practice in the nineteenth century support the conclusions he has set forth. The theocratic traditionalism of Bonald, based on unity and authority, convicted itself by its inadequacy. Lamennais, by dint of hard thinking, substituted for an intolerant philosophy of unity and order a tolerant liberalism based on division of power, liberty of conscience, and a democratic conception of the state. Royer-Collard opposed to political traditionalism his theory of the decentralized, multicellular state, in which personality is free to develop. Finally there has been in the French civil service the development of a group consciousness and a desire for functional decentralization, with group autonomy and responsibility.

Mr. Laski has promised a book on the theory of the state that will be eagerly awaited. Doubtless no one knows better than he that he has left many important questions unanswered. One may hope, too, that further wrestling with the formulation of the political principles underlying the modern state will result in a greater lucidity. I think it was a contemporary of Lamennais, who said, "On ne peut se flatter d'avoir le dernier mot d'une théorie tant qu'on ne peut pas l'expliquer en peu de paroles à un passant dans la rue." It is to be regretted, also, that the present book is marred by numerous typographical errors.